



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO	CONFIRMATION NO
09 633,274	08 04 2000	Deepak Pental	2761-0138P	2170

7590 08 21 2002

BIRCH, STEWART, KOLASCH & BIRCH, LLP
P O Box 747
Falls Church, VA 22040-0747

EXAMINER

KUBELIK, ANNE R

ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER

1638

DATE MAILED: 08 21 2002

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Interview Summary

Application No.

09/633,274

Applicant(s)

PENTAL ET AL

Examiner

Anne R. Kubelik

Art Unit

1638

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Anne R. Kubelik.

(3) _____

(2) Monica Melvin.

(4) _____

Date of Interview: 14 August 2002.

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference
c) ☐ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☐ No.

If Yes, brief description: _____.

Claim(s) discussed: none.

Identification of prior art discussed: _____.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☐ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.


Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Applicant requested copy of 948, which action stated was attached. However, the action was in error. The drawings should not be objected to, because they were approved by the draftsman. Applicant also pointed out a contradiction between the 326, which stated that the action was non-final, and the action itself, which stated that that action was final. Applicant was informed that the action is final. A corrected 326 is attached to this interview summary.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

i) ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview (if box is checked).

Unless the paragraph above has been checked, THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.


Examiner's signature, if required